

REMARKS


With entry of the foregoing amendment, claims 1-24 are pending in the present application, with claims 1, 18 and 20 being in independent form.

Telephone Phone Interview

Applicant's representative, Brian Rosenbloom, wishes to thank Examiner Nguyen for the courtesies extended during the telephonic interview held on April 5, 2005, during which the rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 101 and the Tom reference were discussed. It appears that Examiner Nguyen is in agreement with Applicant that the claims are patentable over the Tom reference. However, the Examiner still insists that claim 1 is directed to non-statutory subject matter. To overcome the §101 rejection, the Examiner recommended that Applicant amend claim 1 to recite that the claimed method is a computer implemented method. In accordance with the Examiner's suggestion and to expedite prosecution of the present application to allowance, claim 1 is amended herein as suggested by the Examiner. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests that the rejection of claim 1 be withdrawn.

CONCLUSION

If the Examiner believes, for any reason, that personal communication will expedite prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at the number provided.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,					
NAME AND REG. NUMBER	Brian Rosenbloom, Registration No.: 41,276				
SIGNATURE				DATE	4/19/05
Address	Rothwell, Figg, Ernst & Manbeck Suite 800, 1425 K Street, N.W.				
City	Washington	State	D.C.	Zip Code	20005
Country	U.S.A.	Telephone	202-783-6040	Fax	202-783-6031